227

Ellen E Cox wrote:

> Saguache County Board of County Commissioners
> PO. Box 655
> Saguache, Colorado
> 81149
> May 24, 2000
>
> Dear Sirs;
> Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed, Clean Water Acti
> There is very little doubt that all US citizens want clean, unpolluted wat
watersheds and waterways. There are concerns about the implementation and im
tions of this Plan however.
> The CWAP has violated many federal laws, one example being the National En
ronmental Policy Act, or NEPA. Not going into all the regulations required b
this Plan has 111 "Key Actions", which cause "impacts" to the "human environ
> Being a local governing body, another concern is the lack of notification,
and viewpoint shared with the authors and directors of this plan. If these a
mandated in the fashion set out by the CWAP, communications were not conside
lid and opportunities were missed for cooperation. Is this even a valid conc
Saguache County? We have no framework to say that it is.
> No assessments have been made in our areas to ascertain if social, cultura
economic impacts could adversely effect the mostly agricultural areas in Sag
County, having 66% of our county in federal land.
> Having read the actions, the data is limited and outdated, using random an
"Science"- to support it's basis for the mandates.
> The CWAP is in essence working against itself, in its attempt to override
Clean Water Act. This Plan has not been mandated by Congress, and the CWAP a
to challenge how federally managed lands are governed and used, in violation
multiple use and sustained yield regulations of those lands set out by FLPMA
> Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the Clean Water Action P
> A question to ponder, "is this Plan really needed?" Saguache County has de
concerns that the answer may be "NO".
> Ellen E. Cox
> Aid to the Saguache County Commissioners
> PO Box 476
> Saguache, Colorado
> 81149
> .
>
>

CAET RECEIVED

MAY 2 5 2000

~~228~~
227

[Fwd: Comments on Clean Water Action Plan]

Subject: [Fwd: Comments on Clean Water Action Plan]
Date: Wed, 24 May 2000 15:53:17 -0700
From: Ellen E Cox <sagcrb@amigo.net>
To: cleanwater/wo_wo_caet-slc@fs.fed.us

Ellen E Cox wrote:

> *Saguache County Board of County Commissioners*
> *PO. Box 655*
> *Saguache, Colorado*
> *81149*
> *May 24, 2000*
>
> *Dear Sirs;*
> *Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed, Clean Water Action Plan.*
> *There is very little doubt that all US citizens want clean, unpolluted water, watershe*
> *The CWAP has violated many federal laws, one example being the National Environmental*
> *Being a local governing body, another concern is the lack of notification, input and v*
> *No assessments have been made in our areas to ascertain if social, cultural and econom*
> *Having read the actions, the data is limited and outdated, using random and faulty "Sc*
> *The CWAP is in essence working against itself, in its attempt to override the Clean Wa*
> *Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the Clean Water Action Plan.*
> *A question to ponder, "is this Plan really needed?" Saguache County has definite conce*
> *Ellen E. Cox*
> *Aid to the Saguache County Commissioners*
> *PO Box 476*
> *Saguache, Colorado*
> *81149*
> .
>
>

Subject: Comments on Clean Water Action Plan
Date: Wed, 24 May 2000 15:09:47 -0700
From: Ellen E Cox <sagcrb@amigo.net>
To: cleanwater/wo_caet-slc@fs.fed.us

Saguache County Board of County Commissioners
PO. Box 655
Saguache, Colorado
81149
May 24, 2000

Dear Sirs;
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed, Clean Water Action Plan.
There is very little doubt that all US citizens want clean, unpolluted water, watersheds and waterways. There are concerns about the implementation and implications of this Plan however.

227

Cwap

<HTML>
Saguache County Board of County Commissioners

PO. Box 655

Saguache, Colorado

81149

May 24, 2000

<P>Dear Sirs;

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed, Clean Water Action Plan.

There is very little doubt that all US citizens want clean, unpolluted water, watersheds and waterways. There are concerns about the implementation and implications of this Plan however.

The CWAP has violated many federal laws, one example being the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA. Not going into all the regulations required by NEPA, this Plan has 111 "Key Actions", which cause "impacts" to the "human environment".

Being a local governing body, another concern is the lack of notification, input and viewpoint shared with the authors and directors of this plan.
If these are to be mandated in the fashion set out by the CWAP, communications were not considered valid and opportunities were missed for cooperation.
Is this even a valid concern is Saguache County? We have no framework to say that it is.

No assessments have been made in our areas to ascertain if social, cultural and economic impacts could adversely effect the mostly agricultural areas in Saguache County, having 66% of our county in federal land.

Having read the actions, the data is limited and outdated, using random and faulty "Science"- to support it's basis for the mandates.

The CWAP is in essence working against itself, in its attempt to override the Clean Water Act. This Plan has not been mandated by Congress, and the CWAP attempts to challenge how federally managed lands are governed and used, in violation of the multiple use and sustained yield regulations of those lands set out by FLPMA.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the Clean Water Action Plan.

A question to ponder, "is this Plan really needed?" Saguache County has definite concerns that the answer may be "NO".

Ellen E. Cox

Aid to the Saguache County Commissioners

PO Box 476

Saguache, Colorado

81149

.

 </HTML>